

the Amendment After Final Rejection, wherein it is pointed out that the presently claimed invention is patentably distinguishable from Marchal even given the Examiner's interpretation of the "planar" limitations in the claims. Please note that those arguments are not inconsistent with the Examiner's position that recitations of a coated surface's being planar do not require that the entire surface be coated.

Respectfully submitted,

JORDAN AND HAMBURG LLP

By _____
C. Bruce Hamburg
Reg. No. 22,389
Attorney for Applicants

Jordan and Hamburg LLP
122 East 42nd Street
New York, New York 10168
(212) 986-2340